

# Yaglom limit via Holley inequality

Pablo Ferrari

Universidad de Buenos Aires

joint with Leonardo Rolla

*Austin, May 5, 2014*

The  $p$ - $q$  discrete time random walk on  $\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  **absorbed at 0**.

$$Q(x, x+1) = p, \quad Q(x, x-1) = q, \quad Q(0, 0) = 1$$

$p < q$ .

**Conditioned distribution:**

Initial distribution  $\nu$ , a probability on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Distribution of walk conditioned to stay in  $\mathbb{N}$  during  $[0, n]$ :

$$\nu T_n(y) := \frac{\nu Q^n(y)}{1 - \nu Q^n(0)}, \quad y \in S. \quad (1)$$

Def:  $\nu$  is a *quasi stationary distribution* (qsd) if

$$\nu T_n = \nu, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Absorption time of qsd is exponential:

$$P(\tau^\nu > t) = e^{-R(\nu)}$$

**There are infinitely many qsd** ordered by absorption rate

$$R(\nu) = q\nu(1) \in [0, q(1 - \sqrt{\lambda})^2], \quad \lambda = p/q.$$

The **minimal qsd**  $\nu_{\min}$  is negative binomial  $(2, \sqrt{\lambda})$ :

$$\nu_{\min}(x) = (1 - \sqrt{\lambda})^2 x (\sqrt{\lambda})^{x-1}, \quad x \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

and the others are given in function of  $\nu(1) < (1 - \sqrt{\lambda})^2$  by

$$\nu(x) = \frac{\nu(1)}{c} \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda + 1 - \nu(1) + c}{2} \right)^x - \left( \frac{\lambda + 1 - \nu(1) - c}{2} \right)^x \right] \quad (3)$$

where  $c = [(\nu(1) - \lambda - 1)^2 - 4\lambda]^{1/2}$ . See Cavender [1], pag 585.

The *Yaglom limit* of  $\nu$  is

$$\lim_n \nu T_n,$$

if the limit exists and is a probability.

**Stochastic domination:**

$\mathbb{N}$  is well ordered with minimal state 1:  $1 \leq x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\nu \prec \nu'$  if and only if  $\nu f \leq \nu' f$  for all non decreasing  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

**Coupling:**  $\nu \prec \nu'$  if and only if there exists coupling  $\tilde{\nu}$  on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  with marginals  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  such that  $\tilde{\nu}((x, x') : x \leq x') = 1$ .

Let  $\delta_1$  measure concentrating mass on 1.

Interested in **Yaglom limit starting from  $\delta_1$** :

$$\lim_n \delta_1 T_{2n}, \quad \lim_n \delta_1 T_{2n+1}$$

**Period 2:** starting from 1, visits odd sites at even times and even sites at odd times.

$\nu(\cdot|\text{odd})$  be the measure  $\nu$  conditioned to odd values

$\nu(\cdot|\text{even})$ , conditioned to even values.

If  $\nu$  is qsd, then

$$\nu(\cdot|\text{odd}) T_{2n} = \nu(\cdot|\text{odd}), \quad \nu(\cdot|\text{odd}) T_{2n+1} = \nu(\cdot|\text{even})$$

## Theorem 1

i. The sequence of measures  $(\delta_1 T_{2n}, n \geq 0)$  is monotone:

$$\delta_1 T_{2n} \prec \delta_1 T_{2n+2}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

ii. If  $\nu$  is a qsd, then

$$\delta_1 T_{2n} \prec \nu(\cdot|\text{odd}), \quad \delta_1 T_{2n+1} \prec \nu(\cdot|\text{even})$$

iii. Yaglom limit selects minimal qsd:

$$\lim_n \delta_1 T_{2n} = \nu_{\min}(\cdot|\text{odd}), \quad \lim_n \delta_1 T_{2n+1} = \nu_{\min}(\cdot|\text{even})$$

and  $\nu_{\min} \prec \nu$ , for any qsd  $\nu$ .

## Background

Yaglom limit (iii) proven by Seneta, Seneta and Vere Jones, Van Doorn and Schrijner using explicit calculations.

## Trajectory distribution

For time integers  $n < m$ , trajectories in  $\mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathbb{N}_n^m := \{x_n^m = (x_n, \dots, x_m) : x_k \in S, k = n, \dots, m\}$$

Define

$$\mu_n^m(\nu, Q)(x_n^m) := \frac{\nu(x_n)Q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdots Q(x_{m-1}, x_m)}{1 - \nu Q^{m-n}(0)} \quad (4)$$

Distribution of chain  $X_n^m = (X_n, \dots, X_m)$  with initial distribution  $P(X_n = \cdot) = \nu$  conditioned to stay in  $S$  during  $[n, m]$ .

$$\nu T_{m-n}(y) = \sum_{(x_n, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{X}_n^{m-1}} \mu_n^m(\nu, Q)(x_n, \dots, x_{m-1}, y). \quad (5)$$

The  $m$ -th marginal of  $\mu_n^m(\nu, Q)$  has distribution  $\nu T_{m-n}$ .

## Domination

Partial order on  $\mathbb{N}_n^m$  is coordinatewise order of trajectories:

$$x_n^m \leq y_n^m \text{ if } x_k \leq y_k \text{ for all } k \in [n, m].$$

Order of measures on  $\mathbb{N}_n^m$ :

$\mu \prec \mu'$  iff there is a coupling  $\tilde{\mu}$  with marginals  $\mu, \mu'$  such that

$$\tilde{\mu}(x_n^m \leq y_n^m)$$

Since we start with  $\delta_1$ , we work in the space

$$(\mathbb{N}_n^m)_{\text{odd}} = \{x_n^m \in \mathbb{N}_n^m : x_k \in 2\mathbb{N} + \mathbf{1}\{k - n \text{ is even}\}, k \in [n, m]\}$$

and  $\delta_1 T_{m-n}(x) = 0$  if  $m - n + x$  is even.



**(Simple version of) Holley inequality:**

**Proposition** Let  $\nu$  be a probability on  $(\mathbb{N}_n^m)_{\text{odd}}$ . Then,

$$\mu_n^m(\delta_1, Q) \prec \mu_n^m(\nu, Q).$$

**Gibbs sampler** on the state space of trajectories:

Continuous time Markov chain with rates:  $n < k < m$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 k-1 & k & k+1 & & k-1 & k & k+1 \\
 x & * & x & \rightarrow & x & x+1 & x & \text{rate } 1 \\
 x & * & x & \rightarrow & x & x-1 & x & \text{rate } 1 \text{ if } x > 1
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 m-1 & m & & & m-1 & m & \\
 x & * & \rightarrow & x & x+1 & & \text{rate } p \\
 x & * & \rightarrow & x & x-1 & & \text{rate } q \text{ if } x > 1
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 n & n+1 & & & n & n+1 & \\
 * & x & \rightarrow & x+1 & x & & \text{rate } \frac{q\nu(x+1)}{q\nu(x+1)+p\nu(x-1)} \\
 * & x & \rightarrow & x-1 & x & & \text{rate } \frac{p\nu(x-1)}{q\nu(x+1)+p\nu(x-1)}
 \end{array}$$

“ $\nu$  boundary conditions”

$\mu(\nu, Q)$  is reversible for Gibbs sampler with  $\nu$  boundary conditions.

Substituting the left boundary condition by  $x_n \equiv 1$ :

$\mu(\delta_1, Q)$  is reversible for Gibbs sampler with  $\delta_1$  boundary conditions.

**Coupling**  $((\eta_\ell, \eta'_\ell) : \ell \in \mathbb{N})$  on  $\mathcal{X}_n^m \times \mathcal{X}_n^m$

Use the same Poisson clocks to update both marginals with the rates above:

First marginal with boundary condition  $\delta_1$ .

Second marginal with boundary condition  $\nu$ .

Hence marginals are Gibbs sampler for  $\mu = \mu(\delta_1, Q)$  and  $\mu' = \mu(\nu, Q)$ , respectively.

The **coupling is monotone**:  $\eta_0 \leq \eta'_0$  implies  $\eta_\ell \leq \eta'_\ell$  for all  $\ell \geq 0$ .

## Proof of Holley inequality

Define  $\underline{1} = (121 \dots 121)$  minimal configuration in  $(\mathbb{N}_n^m)_{\text{odd}}$

Start  $(\eta_0, \eta'_0) = (\underline{1}, \underline{1})$ . Call  $\tilde{\mu}_\ell$ : law of  $(\eta_\ell, \eta'_\ell)$ .

$\tilde{\mu}_\ell(\eta \leq \eta') = 1$  for all  $\ell \geq 0$  (monotonicity).

Process is attractive  $\tilde{\mu}_\ell$  is stochastically non decreasing.

Each marginal converges to the respective invariant measure:

$$\mu_\ell \nearrow \mu, \quad \mu'_\ell \nearrow \mu'$$

$\tilde{\mu}_\ell \nearrow \tilde{\mu}$ , an invariant measure for the coupled process.

$\tilde{\mu}$  concentrates on  $\eta \leq \eta'$ . Hence  $\mu \prec \mu'$ . □

## Monotonicity and Yaglom limit

### Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof of i.* Modification of proof of Holley gives

$$\mu_{-n}^0(\delta_1, Q) \prec \mu_{-n-1}^0(\delta_1, Q). \quad (6)$$

Hence, the corresponding 0-marginals are also ordered:

$$\delta_1 T_n \prec \delta_1 T_{n+1}.$$

*Proof of ii.* Let  $\nu'$  be a qsd. By Holley:

$$\mu_{-n}^0(\delta_1, Q) \prec \mu_{-n}^0(\nu', Q)$$

which implies  $\delta_1 T_n \prec \nu' T_n = \nu'$ , because  $\nu'$  is qsd.

*Proof of iii.* Denote  $\nu_n = \delta_1 T_n$  and let  $\nu'$  be a qsd.

By (i)  $\nu_n$  is an increasing sequence of measures.

By (ii),  $\nu_n \prec \nu'$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Hence there is a limit  $\nu = \lim_n \nu_n \prec \nu'$ .

To check that  $\nu$  is a qsd, follows from (1) that

$$\nu_{n+1}(y) = \sum_x \nu_n(x) (Q(x, y) + Q(x, 0)\nu_{n+1}(y))$$

Hence  $\lim_n \nu_n$  must satisfy equation

$$\nu(y) = \sum_x \nu(x) (Q(x, y) + Q(x, 0)\nu(y))$$

characterizing a qsd. □

## General Setup

$S$  partial ordered set with minimal element 1.

**Theorem 1 in general** Assume that  $Q$  is the transition matrix of a irreducible aperiodic Markov chain on  $S \cup \{0\}$  absorbed at 0 such that there is at least one qsd for  $Q$  and

$$\frac{Q(x, \cdot)Q(\cdot, z)}{Q^2(x, z)} \prec \frac{Q(x', \cdot)Q(\cdot, z')}{Q^2(x', z')}, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{Q(x, \cdot)}{1 - Q(x, 0)} \prec \frac{Q(x', \cdot)}{1 - Q(x', 0)}, \quad (8)$$

for all  $z, z', x, x' \in S$  such that  $z \leq z', x \leq x'$ . Then,

i. The sequence of measures  $(\delta_1 T_n, n \geq 1)$  is monotone:

$$\delta_1 T_n \prec \delta_1 T_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

ii. If  $\nu$  is a qsd, then

$$\delta_1 T_n \prec \nu, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

iii. The Yaglom limit of  $\delta_1$  converges to a qsd denoted  $\nu_{\min}$ :

$$\lim_n \delta_1 T_n = \nu_{\min} \quad (11)$$

and  $\nu_{\min} \prec \nu$ , for any qsd  $\nu$ .



**Proposition (Holley inequality)** Let  $\nu, \nu'$  be probabilities on  $S$  and  $Q, Q'$  be transition matrices on  $S \cup \{0\}$  absorbed at 0 such that

$$(a) \quad \frac{\nu(\cdot)Q(\cdot, z)}{\nu Q(z)} \prec \frac{\nu'(\cdot)Q'(\cdot, z')}{\nu' Q'(z')}, \text{ for all } z \leq z', \text{ with } z, z' \in S;$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{Q(x, \cdot)Q(\cdot, z)}{Q^2(x, z)} \prec \frac{Q'(x', \cdot)Q(\cdot, z')}{Q'^2(x', z')}, \text{ for all } x \leq x', z \leq z', \text{ with } x, x', z, z' \in S;$$

$$(c) \quad Q(x, \cdot) \prec Q'(x', \cdot), \text{ for all } x \leq x', \text{ with } x, x' \in S.$$

Assume also that both  $\mu_n^m(\nu, Q)$  and  $\mu_n^m(\nu', Q')$  are irreducible probability measures on  $\mathcal{X}_n^m$ . Then,

$$\mu_n^m(\nu, Q) \prec \mu_n^m(\nu', Q').$$

## One-dimensional examples

**Random walk with delay** The absorbed delayed random walk:

Parameters  $p, q, r > 0$ ;  $p < q$ ;  $p + q + r = 1$ .

Transition probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, x-1) &= q, & Q(x, x) &= r \text{ (delay)}, & Q(x, x+1) &= p, \\ Q(0, 0) &= 1, & Q(x, y) &= 0, \text{ otherwise,} & x &\geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Drift towards 0 and absorbed at 0.

Irreducible aperiodic random walk on  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

The qsd are the same as for the  $p$ - $q$  random walk.

Holley conditions (b,c) are satisfied if  $pq \leq r^2$  and we get:

**Theorem** For the delayed random walk with  $pq \leq r^2$  the conclusions (i, ii, iii) of Theorem 1 hold.

## The continuous time random walk

Positive real numbers  $p < q$

Family of random walks with delay  $(X_n^r)$ , indexed by  $r$  (large):

$$Q_r(x, x-1) = q(1-r), \quad Q_r(x, x) = r, \quad Q_r(x, x+1) = p(1-r),$$

$$Q_r(x, y) = 0, \text{ otherwise;}$$

for  $x \geq 1$ ;  $Q_r(0, 0) = 1$ .  $r$ . Rescaled process:

$$Y_t^r := X_{\lfloor t/(1-r) \rfloor}^r$$

As  $r$  goes to 1,  $(Y_t^r)$  converges to  $(\hat{Y}_t)$ , a continuous time random walk with rates  $p, q$  absorbed at 0, with semigroup  $\hat{U}_t$  given by:

$$\hat{U}_t(x, y) := P(\hat{Y}_t = y | \hat{Y}_0 = x).$$

Define the conditioned delayed evolution as before:

$$\nu T_t^r := \frac{\nu Q_r^{\lceil t/(1-r) \rceil}(y)}{1 - \nu Q_r^{\lceil t/(1-r) \rceil}(0)}$$

And, in the limit, the continuous conditioned evolution by:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \nu T_t^r := \nu \hat{T}_t(y) = \frac{\nu \hat{U}_t(y)}{1 - \nu \hat{U}_t(0)} \quad (13)$$

**Theorem** *The continuous time random walk with rates  $p, q$  absorbed at zero satisfies*

- i. *The sequence  $(\delta_1 \hat{T}_t, n \geq 1)$  is monotone:  $\delta_1 \hat{T}_t \prec \delta_1 \hat{T}_{t+s}$  for  $t, s \geq 0$ .*
- ii. *If  $\nu$  is a qsd, then  $\delta_1 \hat{T}_t \prec \nu$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

iii. The Yaglom limit of  $\delta_1$  converges to  $\nu_{\min}$  given by (2):  
$$\lim_n \delta_1 \hat{T}_t = \nu_{\min}.$$

Item (iii) was proven by direct computation by Seneta [7]. Our proof is a consequence of monotonicity:

**Proof** (i) Use part (i) of the delayed Theorem with  $r > 1/2$  to get

$$\delta_1 T_t^r \prec \delta_1 \hat{T}_{t+s}^r, \quad \text{for } t, s \geq 0$$

and use (13) to conclude.

(ii) Use the fact that the qsd for  $Y_t^r$  are the same as the qsd for  $\hat{Y}_t$  and Theorem 1(ii) to conclude  $\delta_1 \hat{T}_t = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \delta_1 T_t^r \prec \nu$ .

(iii) is consequence of (i,ii) like in the proof of Theorem 1. □

**Question** Can one prove Holley inequality in the continuous time case without the discrete limit?

One should devise a reversible attractive dynamics for which the law of the continuous-time trajectories in finite intervals conditioned to stay positive is reversible.

## Brownian motion

$X_t^\varepsilon$  is random walk with no delay and probabilities

$$p = \frac{a}{2} - \varepsilon, \quad q = \frac{a}{2} + \varepsilon$$

$Z_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon X_{\varepsilon^{-2}t}^\varepsilon$  (diffusively rescaled random walk with drift)

$(Z_t^\varepsilon)$  converges to Brownian motion with drift  $(B_t + at)$

Holley inequality holds for  $(X_t^\varepsilon, t \in [0, \bar{t}])$  (fixed  $\varepsilon$  and  $\bar{t}$ ).

### Two possibilities:

1) show the inequalities for fixed  $\varepsilon$  and show that the conditioned trajectories of  $Z^\varepsilon$  converge to the conditioned trajectories of  $B_t$

2) Define a “limiting dynamics” directly on the trajectories of BM to show Holley inequality for the conditioned trajectories.

(Under construction)

## Final remarks

- There are some **two-dimensional** examples.
- Is it possible to **relax the condition of only one minimal state**?
- Attractiveness far for absorption implies condition (7)?
- If process without conditioning is attractive, then  $\nu_{\min}$  has minimal expected absorption time.
- Attractive dynamics guarantee  $\delta_1$  has minimal expected absorption time?



## Open problem

$(X_t, Y_t)$  queues in series.

$X_t$  = number of customers in queue 1 at time  $t$

$Y_t$  = number of customers in queue 2 at time  $t$

Customers enter queue 1 at rate  $\rho < 1$

Service is exponential at rate 1 in both queues.

Customers served at queue 1 jump to queue 2.

The process is absorbed when queue 2 is empty:  $Y_y = 0$ .

(minimal?) qsd:  $\nu(x, y) = C\rho^x y\rho^{y/2}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $y > 0$

product of geometric and negative binomial.

**Problem:** Prove that the Yaglom limit starting from  $\delta_{(0,1)}$  converges to  $\nu$ .

## References

Cavender [1]

Van Doorn and Schrijner [9] [10]

Ferrari, Martínez and Picco [5] [4]

Collet, Martinez y San Martin [2]

Seneta and Vere-Jones [8]

Ferrari, Kesten, Martínez and Picco [3]

Yaglom [11]

Holley [6]

### References



[James A. Cavender.](#)

Quasi-stationary distributions of birth-and-death processes.  
*Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 10(3):570–586, 1978.



Pierre Collet, Servet Martínez, and Jaime San Martín.

*Quasi-stationary distributions.*

Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.  
Markov chains, diffusions and dynamical systems.



P. A. Ferrari, H. Kesten, S. Martinez, and P. Picco.

Existence of quasi-stationary distributions. A renewal dynamical approach.  
*Ann. Probab.*, 23(2):501–521, 1995.



P. A. Ferrari, S. Martinez, and P. Picco.

Some properties of quasi-stationary distributions in the birth and death chains: a dynamical approach.  
In *Instabilities and nonequilibrium structures, III (Valparaíso, 1989)*, volume 64 of *Math. Appl.*, pages 177–187. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1991.



Pablo A. Ferrari, Servet Martínez, and Pierre Picco.

Existence of nontrivial quasi-stationary distributions in the birth-death chain.  
*Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 24(4):795–813, 1992.



Richard Holley.

Remarks on the FKG inequalities.

*Comm. Math. Phys.*, 36:227–231, 1974.



E. Seneta.

Quasi-stationary behaviour in the random walk with continuous time.  
*Austral. J. Statist.*, 8:92–98, 1966.



E. Seneta and D. Vere-Jones.

On quasi-stationary distributions in discrete-time Markov chains with a denumerable infinity of states.  
*J. Appl. Probability*, 3:403–434, 1966.



Erik A. van Doorn and Pauline Schrijner.

Geometric ergodicity and quasi-stationarity in discrete-time birth-death processes.

*J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 37(2):121–144, 1995.



Erik A. van Doorn and Pauline Schrijner.

Ratio limits and limiting conditional distributions for discrete-time birth-death processes.

*J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 190(1):263–284, 1995.



A. M. Yaglom.

Certain limit theorems of the theory of branching random processes.

*Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 56:795–798, 1947.