

Shift-Coupling and Mass-Stationarity

Hermann Thorisson
University of Iceland

Based on joint work with Günter Last

Simons Workshop on Stochastic Geometry and Point Processes
University of Texas at Austin, May 6th, 2014

Mass-Stationarity

Setting: Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ support the random elements below.

Let G be a locally compact second countable topological group with left-invariant Haar measure λ .

Let ξ be a random measure on G .

Let X be a random element in a space on which G acts.

Write θ_t for the shift map placing a new origin at $t \in G$.

E.g. $X = (X_s)_{s \in G}$ a shift-measurable r.f. and $\theta_t X = (X_{ts})_{s \in G}$.

Definition

The pair (X, ξ) is called **mass-stationary** if for all bounded λ -continuity sets $C \subseteq G$ of positive λ -measure

$$\theta_{V_C}(X, \xi, U_C^{-1}) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi, U_C^{-1}) \quad (\text{randomised self-shift-coupling})$$

where U_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$

and V_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid C)$.



The case when G is compact

Let G be compact and S be a random element in G such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G).$$

Say that the origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ if

$$\theta_S(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi).$$

Theorem

Let G be compact. Then (X, ξ) is mass-stationary if (and only if) the origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ .

The case when G is compact

Let G be compact and S be a random element in G such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G).$$

Say that the origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ if

$$\theta_S(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi).$$

Theorem

Let G be compact. Then (X, ξ) is mass-stationary if (and only if) the origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ .

Recall the definition of mass-stationarity:

The pair (X, ξ) is called **mass-stationary** if for all bounded λ -continuity sets $C \subseteq G$ of positive λ -measure

$$\theta_{V_C}(X, \xi, U_C^{-1}) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi, U_C^{-1}) \quad (\text{randomised self-shift-coupling})$$

where U_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$

and V_C is such that $\mathbb{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid C)$.



Palm Theory

The pair (X, ξ) is called a **Palm version** of a stationary $(\hat{X}, \hat{\xi})$ defined on some $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$, if for each nonnegative measurable function f and each Borel subset B of G with $0 < \lambda(B) < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X, \xi)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_B f(\theta_t(\hat{X}, \hat{\xi})) \hat{\xi}(dt) \right] / \lambda(B).$$

Here (X, ξ) and $(\hat{X}, \hat{\xi})$ are allowed to have distributions that are only σ -finite and not necessarily probability measures. The measure \mathbb{P} is finite if and only if $\hat{\xi}$ has finite intensity.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff (X, \xi)$ Palm version of a stationary pair

Corollary

(X, λ) mass-stationary $\iff X$ stationary

Shift-Coupling and Total Variation Cesaro Limits

Let \mathbb{P} and $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ be **probability** measures such that probabilities of **invariant** sets are identical (always the case they are trivial).

Let (X, ξ) be a (normalised) Palm version of a stationary $(\hat{X}, \hat{\xi})$.

Theorem: Let G be general.

There exists (after extension) a random T in G such that

$$\theta_T(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) \quad (\text{shift-coupling})$$

Then with $0 < \lambda(B) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}(U_B \in \cdot | X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot | B)$,

$$\|\mathbb{P}(\theta_{U_B}(X, \xi) \in \cdot) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) \in \cdot)\| \leq \mathbb{E}[\lambda(B \cap TB) / \lambda(B)].$$

So if there exist **Folner sets** $B_t, t > 0$, (amenability) then

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta_{U_{B_t}}(X, \xi) \in \cdot) \xrightarrow{TV} \hat{\mathbb{P}}((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) \in \cdot), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Shift-Coupling and Total Variation Cesaro Limits

Let \mathbb{P} and $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ be **probability** measures such that probabilities of **invariant** sets are identical (always the case they are trivial).

Let (X, ξ) be a (normalised) Palm version of a stationary $(\hat{X}, \hat{\xi})$.

Theorem: Let G be general.

There exists (after extension) a random T in G such that

$$\theta_T(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) \quad (\text{shift-coupling})$$

Then with $0 < \lambda(B) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}(U_B \in \cdot | X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot | B)$,

$$\|\mathbb{P}(\theta_{U_B}(X, \xi) \in \cdot) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) \in \cdot)\| \leq \mathbb{E}[\lambda(B \cap TB) / \lambda(B)].$$

So if there exist **Folner sets** $B_t, t > 0$, (amenability) then

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta_{U_{B_t}}(X, \xi) \in \cdot) \xrightarrow{TV} \hat{\mathbb{P}}((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) \in \cdot), \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

and with $\hat{\mathbb{P}}(W_B \in \cdot | \hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) = \hat{\xi}(\cdot | B)$

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta_{U_{B_t}}(\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}) \in \cdot) \xrightarrow{TV} \hat{\mathbb{P}}((X, \xi) \in \cdot), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$



Preserving shifts π and allocation rules τ_π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced (invariant) **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(s) = \pi(\theta_s \xi)s, \quad s \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary and π preserving $\Rightarrow \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Preserving shifts π and allocation rules τ_π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced (invariant) **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(s) = \pi(\theta_s \xi)s, \quad s \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary and π preserving $\Rightarrow \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let G be Abelian and ξ a simple point process. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Preserving shifts π and allocation rules τ_π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced (invariant) **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(s) = \pi(\theta_s \xi), \quad s \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary and π preserving $\Rightarrow \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let G be Abelian and ξ a simple point process. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Note that if ξ is a simple point process and $\pi(\xi) = 0$ when $\xi(\{0\}) = 0$ then π is preserving **if and only if** τ is a **bijection**.

Preserving shifts π and allocation rules τ_π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced (invariant) **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(s) = \pi(\theta_s \xi)s, \quad s \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary and π preserving $\Rightarrow \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let G be Abelian and ξ a simple point process. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Preserving shifts π and allocation rules τ_π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced (invariant) **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(s) = \pi(\theta_s \xi), \quad s \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary and π preserving $\Rightarrow \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let G be Abelian and ξ a simple point process. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ be diffuse. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Preserving shifts π and allocation rules τ_π

Let π be a measurable map taking ξ to a location $\pi(\xi)$ in G . Define the induced (invariant) **allocation rule** $\tau_\pi = \tau_\pi^\xi$ by

$$\tau_\pi(s) = \pi(\theta_s \xi), \quad s \in G.$$

Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem

(X, ξ) mass-stationary and π preserving $\Rightarrow \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let G be Abelian and ξ a simple point process. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and ξ be diffuse. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

The $\pi_r(\xi) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \xi([0, t]) = r\}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, are preserving.

The property

$$\forall \text{ preserving } \pi: \theta_{\pi(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$$

is not sufficient to define mass-stationarity

Stationary independent backgrounds Y

Let Y be a random element in a space on which G acts.
Call Y a **stationary independent background** if Y is stationary and independent of (X, ξ) .

Let π be a measurable map taking (Y, ξ) to $\pi(Y, \xi)$ in G .
Call π **preserving** if τ_π preserves ξ , that is, if $\xi(\tau_\pi \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}^d$ and ξ be diffuse. Then

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall$ stationary independent backgrounds Y and \forall preserving $\pi: \theta_{\pi(Y, \xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$

Corollary: Let $G = \mathbb{R}^d$. Then with λ_1 Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}

(X, ξ) mass-stationary $\iff \forall Y$ that are stationary independent backgrounds for $(X, \xi \otimes \lambda_1)$ and \forall preserving $\pi:$

$$\theta_{\pi(Y, \xi \otimes \lambda_1)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$$



Shift-Coupling

Setting: Below let (H, \mathcal{H}) be the space of (X, η, ξ) .

Let η be another random measure on G defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Let K be a σ -finite kernel from $(H, \mathcal{H}) \otimes (G, \mathcal{G})$ to (G, \mathcal{G}) .

Let K be **invariant**, that is, $K(\theta_t(\cdot, \cdot), \theta_t \cdot) = K$ for all $t \in G$.

Say that K **balances** ξ and η if $\int K(((X, \eta, \xi), \mathbf{s}), \cdot) \xi(d\mathbf{s}) = \eta$.

Let $((X, \eta), \xi)$ be the Palm version of a stationary $((\hat{X}, \hat{\eta}), \hat{\xi})$.

Theorem

The Palm version of $((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}), \hat{\eta})$ has the distribution

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[\int \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_{\mathbf{s}}(X, \eta, \xi) \in \cdot\}} K(((X, \eta, \xi), \mathbf{0}), d\mathbf{s}) \right]$$

if and only if K balances ξ and η a.e. \mathbb{P} .

Corollary: Let π take (X, η, ξ) to $\pi(X, \eta, \xi)$ in G .

Then $\theta_{\pi(X, \eta, \xi)}((X, \eta), \xi)$ is the Palm version of $((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}), \hat{\eta})$

if and only if $\xi(\tau_{\pi} \in \cdot) = \eta$ a.e. \mathbb{P} .



Shift-Coupling

Let $((X, \eta), \xi)$ be the Palm version of a stationary $((\hat{X}, \hat{\eta}), \hat{\xi})$.

Theorem

The Palm version of $((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}), \hat{\eta})$ has the distribution

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[\int \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_s(X, \eta, \xi) \in \cdot\}} K(((X, \eta, \xi), \mathbf{0}), ds) \right]$$

if and only if K balances ξ and η a.e. \mathbb{P} .

Corollary: Let π take (X, η, ξ) to $\pi(X, \eta, \xi)$ in G .

Then $\theta_{\pi(X, \eta, \xi)}((X, \xi), \eta)$ is the Palm version of $((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}), \hat{\eta})$

if and only if $\xi(\tau_{\pi} \in \cdot) = \eta$ a.e. \mathbb{P} .

Theorem: Let the intensities of $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ be positive and finite.

There exists a Markovian K balancing ξ and η a.e. \mathbb{P} if and only if, given the the invariant σ -algebra of $(\hat{X}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{\xi})$, the conditional intensities of $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ are identical a.e. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$.

The case $G = \mathbb{R}$ – Diffuse measures

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}$.

Let ξ be **diffuse** and have infinite mass in both directions. Then for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the π_r defined by $\pi_r(\xi) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \xi([0, t]) = r\}$ preserves ξ , that is, $\xi(\tau_{\pi_r} \in \cdot) = \xi$.

Thus if (X, ξ) is mass-stationary $\theta_{\pi_r(\xi)}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem: Let $G = \mathbb{R}$.

Let $(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta})$ be a stationary pair of **diffuse** and **singular** random measures with infinite mass in both directions and with the **same conditional intensity** given their invariant σ -algebra.

Then the π defined by

$$\pi(\xi, \eta) = \inf\{t > 0 : \xi([0, t]) = \eta([0, t])\}$$

balances ξ and η a.e., that is, $\xi(\tau_{\pi} \in \cdot) = \nu$ a.e.

Thus if $((X, \eta), \xi)$ is the Palm version of a stationary $((\hat{X}, \hat{\eta}), \hat{\xi})$ then $\theta_{\pi(\xi)}((X, \xi), \eta)$ is the Palm version of $((\hat{X}, \hat{\xi}), \hat{\eta})$.

Standard Brownian motion $B = (B_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$

Let $B = (B_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the **canonical** two-sided standard Brownian motion. Let \mathbb{P}_0 be its distribution. In particular, $B_0 = 0$ a.e. \mathbb{P} . Let ℓ^x be local time at level $x \in \mathbb{R}$. With ν a probability measure, put $\ell^\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell^x \nu(dx)$ and $\mathbb{P}_\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(B + x \in \cdot) dx$.

Then B is **stationary** under the σ -finite $\mathbb{P}_\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(B + x \in \cdot) dx$. And (B, ℓ^ν) under \mathbb{P}_ν is the Palm version of (B, ℓ^ν) under \mathbb{P}_λ . Thus with $T_r = \pi_r(\xi) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \ell^0([0, t]) = r\}$

$$\text{under } \mathbb{P}_0: \theta_{T_r} B \stackrel{D}{=} B, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Also ℓ^0 and ℓ^ν under \mathbb{P}_λ have the same conditional intensity given the (trivial) invariant σ -algebra. Thus with

$$T^\nu = \pi(\ell^0, \ell^\nu) = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell^0([0, t]) = \ell^\nu([0, t])\}$$

we have that

(B, ℓ^ν) under \mathbb{P}_0 is the Palm version of (B, ℓ^ν) under \mathbb{P}_λ .